UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

Greif, Inc.,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. C2 - 08 - 509Judge SARBUS

VS.

Gary M. Corte

Defendant.

(EA) PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

Pursuant to S.D. Ohio Civ. R. 65.1, this Court held a status conference on Plaintiff's Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order. For the reasons stated at that hearing, and for good cause shown, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65, Plaintiff Greif Inc. is entitled to a temporary restraining order against Defendant Gary Corte ("Corte"). Therefore it is hereby ordered:

Corte be temporarily restrained and preliminarily enjoined pursuant to the 1. non-competition covenants of his employment agreement. Namely, Corte should be temporarily restrained and preliminarily enjoined from (a) competing in the container board business, which includes the manufacture and sale of corrugated products and subject to the interlineation above packaging materials; (b) rendering any advice, services or otherwise assisting any other person or entity engaged in the above mentioned business operations; and (c) inducing any Greif employee to leave his or her employment, and/or hiring, employing, or working in concert with any current or former Greif employees. These restriction shall apply in the states of Ohio and Michigan.

M

Shey!

سدوون

concert with the defendant

- Corte be temporarily restrained and preliminarily enjoined from violating his employment agreement through the use and disclosure of confidential business information or trade secrets of Greif for purposes not connected to his employment with Greif.
- Corte be temporarily restrained and preliminarily enjoined from misappropriating Greif's trade secrets through the solicitation of, doing business with, or designing and manufacturing of products for any current or former Greif customer.

Greif is entitled to such relief because Gary Corte has caused, and absent this temporary restraining order, will continue to cause Greif permanent and irreparable harm including, but not limited to, the loss of proprietary information and trade secrets, and damage to Greif's name, goodwill, customer relationships, and other commercial interests. Reasonable bond, to be paid by Greif, shall be set at \$\frac{1}{2}\$, \$\frac{1}{2}\$\$. Qood due to Greif's likelihood of success on the merits.

A hearing on Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction is scheduled for _______, at ______, at ______, at ________ Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(a)(2), the hearing on the merits of Plaintiff's claims for injunctive relief is consolidated with the hearing on Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction.

It is so ORDERED.

JUDGE, ONITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

5-13-1008

Date